

**Before the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity  
(Appellate Jurisdiction)**

**R.P. No. 6/09 in  
Appeal No. 103 of 2008**

**Dated: 11<sup>th</sup> May, 2009**

**Present : Hon'ble Mr. Justice M. Karpaga Vinayagam, Chairperson  
Hon'ble Mr. A.A. Khan, Technical Member**

**N.T.P.C. ... Appellant (s)**

**Versus**

**Central Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr. ... Respondent (s)**

Counsel for the Review Petitioner/Appellant (s) : Mr. M.G. Ramachandran  
Mr. Anand K. Ganesan &  
Ms Swapna Seshadri.

Counsel for the Respondent (s) : None

**ORDER**

We heard Mr. M.G. Ramachandran, learned counsel appearing for the appellant. Though he raised some of the grounds of the review, we feel that those grounds are not valid grounds to review our order. However, he correctly pointed out that there were some typographical errors. In para 9 of the order of this Tribunal dated 26.03.2009, it is observed as follows:

“9. This Tribunal by its order passed on 06.06.2007 decided the Appeal No. 205 of 2005 filed by NTPC and cross Appeal No. 09 of 2007 by the Respondent, UPPCL remitting the following issues to the Central Commission for re-examination with the direction:”

Now it is pointed out by Mr. Ramachandran that NTPC had not filed the Appeal No. 205 of 2005 and actually the Appeal had been filed by the UPPCL. It is also pointed out that the Appeal No. 09 of 2007 was also filed by the UPPCL and the same is not a cross Appeal and as such both Appeals No. 205 of 2005 and 09 of 2007 were filed by UPPCL. Therefore, it must be corrected as to the following effect:

“9. This Tribunal by its order passed on 06.06.2007 decided the Appeal No. 205 of 2005 filed by UPPCL and Appeal No. 09 of 2007 filed by the UPPCL remitting the following issues to the Central Commission for re-examination with the direction:”

We also observe a typographical error of similar nature in para 7 of the judgment wherein the word ‘NTPC’ is to be corrected as ‘UPPCL’.

Further as pointed out by the Petitioner Rs. 32.17 crores recorded in para 36 of the judgment is to be corrected as Rs. 32.71 crores.

Accordingly, Registry is directed to make the above corrections.

Review Petition is disposed of.

**(A.A. Khan)**  
Technical Member

**(Justice M. Karpaga Vinayagam)**  
Chairperson

