Before the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity (Appellate Jurisdiction)

Appeal No. 247 of 2006

Dated: 06th November, 2006

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Dev Singh, Chairperson

Hon'ble Mr. A.A. Khan, Technical Member

Andhra Pradesh Power Generation Corpn. Ltd. ... Appellant

V/s.

 Central Power Distribution Co. of A.P. Ltd. Singareni Bhavan, Red Hills, Hyderabad. Rep. by its Managing Director

- Eastern Power Distribution Company of A.P. Ltd.
 Sai Shakti Bhavan, Opp Saraswati Pari, Daba Gardens, Visakhapatnam, Rep. by its Managing Director
- Northern Power Distribution Company of A.P. Ltd. 1.1.503, NIT Main Road, Chaitanyapuri, Kazipet, Warangal, Rep. by its Managing Director
- Southern Power Distribution Company of A.P. Ltd. 19-3-13(M) Upstairs, Renigunta Road, Tirupati Rep. by its Managing Director
- 5. Andhra Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission,Singareni Bhavan, Red Hills, HyderabadRep. by its Chairman ...Respondents

Counsel for the Appellant: Mr. K. Gopal Choudary

Counsel for the Respondents: Mr. R. Santhana Krishnan, Ms. R. Radha

Rani & Mr. P. Vijaya Kumar

<u>ORDER</u>

We do not find any illegality in the impugned order passed by the Andhra Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission (for short 'the Commission'). The appellant was required by the Commission to furnish details of the fixed costs station-wise. This direction of the

<u>-2-</u>

Appeal No. 247 of 2006

Commission was not carried out by the appellant. It was submitted

before the Commission and it has also been submitted before us that

it is not possible to allocate station-wise cost of the following common

items, namely, Pension bonds, P.F. bonds, Vidyut bonds and other

loans. We do not agree with the appellant. Surely, the liabilities are

known and the employees who were working in the erstwhile

A.P.S.E.B. are also known. It appears that for some inexplicable

reason the appellant is not willing to give the details required by the

Commission. In case, these details are not worked out or computed,

it will not even be possible for the appellant to abide by the merit

order dispatches.

In the circumstances, therefore, the appeal is dismissed. In

case, the appellant carries out the direction of the Commission in

furnishing station-wise details of the fixed costs, the Commission shall

consider the application of the appellant for amendment of the tariff

application in accordance with law, in case the same is filed.

(Mr. A. A. Khan) Technical Member (Mr. Justice Anil Dev Singh) Chairperson

Date: 6th November, 2006

surekha